Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,339	LOCKETT, DWIGHT J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Suhol	3725
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Dmitry Suhol</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mary Edwards.	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: Claim 6		
Prior art documents discussed: Kopel US 3,200,514		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	The examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance
De las	- 	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
· (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, p	.g

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested canceling claim 6 and allowing the rest of the current claims to avoid a possible rejection based upon newly discovered reference to Kopel '514. Applicants representative agreed to cancel the claim 6 and indicated that a continuation may be filed at a later date encompassing the limitations thereof.